

**RATING FACTORS FOR FY2017 Continuum of Care Program  
Renewal Grants – (PSH Programs)**

<b>THRESHOLD REQUIREMENT</b>	<b>Maximum Point Value</b>
<b>Agency Commitment to Coordinated Entry Participation</b> *Agency receives 100% of all program referrals from the ACCESS HOUSING LIST & Participates in chronic homeless case meeting for PSH projects. Point Scale: Yes = 10; No = 0	25 Points
<b>Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (review of APR/results of survey)</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ Participants are not screened out due to zero or too little income (2 points)</li> <li>✓ Participants are not screened out because of active or history of substance abuse (2 points)</li> <li>✓ Participants are not screened out because they have a criminal record with the exception of state-mandated restrictions (2 points)</li> <li>✓ Participants are not screened out because of a history of domestic violence. (2 points)</li> <li>✓ Participants are not screened out due to marital status, familial status, actual or perceived sexual orientation, gender identity (2 points)</li> </ul>	10 Points
<b>Demonstrate Program Serves a High Need Population (based on most recent APR data)</b> <b>For PSH Programs</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>✓ 100% of new (entering) clients meet chronic homeless definition (2 pts)</li> <li>✓ 50% entering project from place not meant for human habitation (2 pts)</li> <li>✓ 75% have more than one disability type (1 pts)</li> </ul>	5 Points
<b>HMIS data quality is at or above 90%</b>	5 Points
<b>Bed/Unit Utilization rate at or above 90%</b>	5 Points
<b>Documented, secured minimum match</b>	5 Points
<b>Project has reasonable costs - (average of \$15,000 per client/unit per year)</b>	5 Points
<b>Project is financially feasible (yes or no); has other resources significant enough to ensure support services and ability to operate program for the grant term.</b>	5 Points
<b>Subrecipient is active CoC participant (full points for agency that participates in both Board and Bi-monthly Coalition Meetings)</b>	5 Points
<b>Application is complete and data is consistent</b>	5 Points
<b>Expenditures – Subrecipient fully expends grant within grant term.</b> (Point scale 5 pts =100%; 4 pts - >=90%; 3 pts >=80%; 2 pts >=70%; 1 pt >=60%; 0 pts <59%)	5 Points
<b>Monitoring Results – Program is in fiscal, regulatory compliance with CoC Regulations</b>	5 pts.
<b>TOTAL THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS</b>	<b>85 Points</b>

<b>PERFORMANCE MEASURES</b>	<b>Maximum Point Value</b>
<b>At least 90% of participants remain or move to permanent housing or in the case of a program with less than 5 units, no more than 1 person exit within 12 months of entry.</b>	25 points
<b>No more than 15% of participants return to homelessness (places not meant for human habitation or shelter) within 12 months of entry (based on APR)</b>	10 points
<b>New or Increased Income and Earned Income</b> 8 % of participants have new or increased earned income for project stayers (2 points) 10 %of participants have new or increased non-employment income for project stayers(3 points) 8 % of participants have new or increased earned income for project leavers (2 points) 10 % of participants have new or increased non-employment income for project leavers (3 points)	10 Points
<b>Health Insurance</b> 75% of participants obtain health insurance.	5 Points
<b>TOTAL PERFORMANCE MEASURES</b>	<b>50 Points</b>
<b>TOTAL POINTS</b>	<b>135 Points</b>

Projects scoring less than 70 points are deemed to be low performing and are at risk of being re-allocated as part FY2017 CoC Competition.

Anne Arundel County CoC may re-allocate the funding of a low performing project that fails to meet established performance measures or maintain regulatory compliance. If a project scores **below 70 points** on the Rating Tool for the renewal projects, the project will be considered low-performing and will be at-risk of having the project's funds reallocated for a new project that better meets the needs of CoC. Projects will be notified prior to the final ranking/review committee meeting and given the opportunity to review and submit a response to the ranking/review committee outlining reasons for failure to meet the threshold. This response will be taken into consideration as well as review of past year performance of particular project. If a low-performing project is not re-allocated during the competition, the project will be required to submit a plan of action/performance improvement plan to address the low scoring areas.

See **Anne Arundel County and Annapolis Coalition to End Homelessness (Anne Arundel County CoC) Reallocation Policies** for more details.

