

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: _____
 Organization Name: _____
 Project Type: _____ RRH (General) _____
 Project Identifier: _____

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
---------------	------------------	-------------	----------------	-----------------

PERFORMANCE MEASURES

Length of Stay				
Rapid Re-Housing	On average, participants are placed in housing 15 days after referral to RRH	<input type="text"/> days	<input type="text"/> out of	20
Exits to Permanent Housing				
Rapid Re-Housing	90% move to PH	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	25
Returns to Homelessness				
New or Increased Income and Earned Income				
Earned income for project stayers	8%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	2.5
Non-employment income for project stayers	10%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	2.5
Earned income for project leavers	15%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	2.5
Non-employment income for project leavers	25%+ of participants with new or increased income	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	2.5
Performance Measures Subtotal			0	out of 55

SERVE HIGH NEED POPULATIONS

Rapid Re-Housing	≥ 95% of participants are chronically homeless	<input type="text"/> %	<input type="text"/> out of	20
Serve High Need Populations Subtotal			0	out of 20

PROJECT EFFECTIVENESS

Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation	Commits to applying Housing First model	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	10
Project Effectiveness Subtotal			0	out of 10

EQUITY FACTORS

Agency Leadership, Governance, and Policies				
Recipient Management & Leadership Positions	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	2
Recipient Board of Directors	BIPOC, LGBTQIA+, etc representation	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	2
Process for receiving & incorporating feedback	Process includes persons with lived experience	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	4
Internal Policies and Procedures	Policies with equitable lense, no undue barriers	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	5
Program Participant Outcomes				
Outcomes with an equity lens	Data disaggregated by underserved populations	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	5
Program changes for equitable outcomes	Plan to create more equitable program outcomes	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/> out of	2
Equity Factors Subtotal			0	out of 20

OTHER AND LOCAL CRITERIA

RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Project Name: _____
 Organization Name: _____
 Project Type: RRH (General)
 Project Identifier: _____

RATING FACTOR	PERFORMANCE GOAL	PERFORMANCE	POINTS AWARDED	MAX POINT VALUE
Applicant Narrative	Project is operating in conformance to CoC standards	<input style="width: 80px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	<input style="width: 40px; height: 20px;" type="text"/>	out of 10
Other and Local Criteria Subtotal			0	out of 10
TOTAL SCORE			0	out of 115
Weighted Rating Score				out of 100

PROJECT FINANCIAL INFORMATION

CoC funding requested	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$ -
Amount of other public funding (federal, state, county, city)		<input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>
Amount of private funding		<input style="width: 100%; height: 20px;" type="text"/>
TOTAL PROJECT COST		\$ -
CoC Amount Awarded Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$ -
CoC Amount Expended Last Operating Year	<i>NOTE: Edit on the LIST OF PROJECTS TO BE REVIEWED tab</i>	\$ -
Percent of CoC funding expended last operating year		0%

