

## Anne Arundel County, MD HSP Local Scoring Key

<b>Provider Name</b>			
<b>Project Name</b>			
<b>Project Type</b>			
<b>FY26 Amount Requested</b>			
<b>Provider Score:</b>	100%		
<b>Pass Threshold Review?</b>	<b>Yes</b>	<b>No</b>	
	<i>If Yes, continue with scoring</i>	<i>If No, do not continue with scoring</i>	
	<b>Points Earned</b>	<b>Total Possible Points</b>	
	<b>165</b>	<b>165</b>	
<b>PROVIDER SCORE</b>	<b>90</b>		
<b>New or Renewal?</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>0</b>	
	<i>Renewal</i>	<i>New</i>	
<b>RRH or PSH?</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>0</b>	
	<i>Requested project is a RRH or PSH project</i>	<i>Requested project is not a RRH or PSH project</i>	
<b>Population Served</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	
	<i>Special Population Served</i>	<i>General Population Served</i>	
<b>Prior HSP Spending</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>
	<i>Spend FY23 and FY24 grants fully, is on track to spend full HSP25 grant</i>	<i>Spend FY23 and FY24 grants fully, is not on track to spend full HSP25 grant</i>	<i>Have not expended FY23, FY24, or FY25 funds fully</i>
<b>Prior HSP Compliance</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>
	<i>Completed monitoring for FY23 and FY24 with no findings or concerns; or findings and concerns have been resolved</i>	<i>Completed monitoring for FY23 and FY24 with findings or concerns; only findings are unresolved</i>	<i>Completed monitoring for FY23 and FY24 with findings or concerns; concerns are unresolved</i>
<b>HMIS Compliance and Data Quality</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>1</b>
	<i>Applicant enters data in HMIS timely with little to no errors</i>	<i>Applicant enters data in HMIS timely with a moderate number of errors</i>	<i>Applicant enters data in HMIS late with many errors</i>

<b>APR Project Performance Review</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>20</b>
<i>*NOTE: Calculation done in HSP Project Performance Tool document</i>	<i>Applicant has met all data benchmarks and goals set forth in their previous year's application</i>	<i>Applicant has met most data benchmarks and goals set forth in their previous year's application</i>	<i>Applicant has met few data benchmarks and goals set forth in their previous year's application</i>
<b>Risk Assessment</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>
	<i>Low risk provider</i>	<i>Medium risk provider</i>	<i>High risk provider</i>
<b>PROJECT SCORE</b>	<b>75</b>		
<b>Strategy</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>Community Partnerships</i>	8		
<i>Coordination with Existing Programs</i>	8		
<i>Match</i>	4		
<b>System Performance</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5</b>
<i>Increase income, benefits, and employment</i>	7		
<i>Homeless Prevention</i>	4		
<i>Outcomes</i>	4		
<b>Equity</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion</i>	3		
<i>Lived Experience</i>	3		
<i>Planning and Capacity</i>	4		
<b>Housing First</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>Reduce barriers to permanent housing</i>	3		
<i>Lack client conditions for program participation</i>	3		
<i>Accept clients regardless of income, substance history, and criminal justice system involvement</i>	4		
<b>Youth Projects</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>0</b>	
<i>Outcomes</i>	2		
<i>Engagement</i>	2		
<i>Overrepresented Groups</i>	1		
<b>RRH/PSH Projects</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7</b>	<b>3</b>
<i>Landlord Engagement and Retention</i>	4		
<i>Housing Navigation</i>	3		
<i>Policies and Procedures</i>	3		
<b>BONUS - Innovative System Transformation Project</b>		<b>5 possible bonus points</b>	
<b>TOTAL SCORE</b>	<b>165</b>		